

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 1762**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Katsuyuki MORII et al.

Group Art Unit: 1762

Application No.: 09/994,816

Examiner: M. CLEVELAND

Filed: November 28, 2001

Docket No.: 111219

For: ORGANIC ELECTRO-LUMINESCENT DEVICE, MANUFACTURING METHOD  
FOR THE SAME, AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the April 26, 2006 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Claims 1-4, 7 and 9 are pending in this Application.

**I. Claims 5, 6, 8 and 10 Should Be Rejoined And Allowed Upon Finding Claim 1 Allowable.**

The Office Action, in paragraph 2, asserts that Applicants' election of the invention of Group I, claims 1-4, 7 and 9 in the reply filed on 11/29/2004 is acknowledged. The Office Action goes on to assert that because the Applicants did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the Restriction Requirement the election has been treated as an election without traverse. As such, the Office Action asserts that claims 5, 6, 8 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention there being no allowable generic or linking claim.